

REMARKS

Claims 1-4 are pending. Reconsideration and allowance based on the comments below are respectfully requested.

The Examiner rejects claims 1-4 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) in view of Takaoka (JP 2002-051156A) in view of Miki et al. (U.S. Patent Publication 2004/0029552). This rejection is respectfully traversed.

The Examiner states that Takaoka fails to teach “that the mobile phone requests the monitored image or model information.” The Examiner relies upon Miki to provide this teaching absent in Takaoka. Applicant respectfully submits that Miki fails to remedy Takaoka’s deficiencies.

Miki teaches a system in which images are acquired and stored by an image transmitter. The image transmitter, such as scanner or digital camera, is connected to the mobile phone via a cable or other means. The image transmitter initiates communication between the mobile phone and image transmitter by sending a connection start signal. See paragraph [0053].

The image transmitter also initiates a request for model information from the mobile phone for conversion purposes. See paragraph [0054]. The image transmitter also performs conversion and then again request transmission to the mobile phone of the converted image. See paragraph [0058].

In the system of Miki, it is the image transmitter that initiates all requests with the mobile phone. The mobile phone transmits data based on these requests. However, the mobile phone does not send any request for an image or initiates sending model information for performing conversion of the requested image.

In contrast, in Applicant's claimed invention, it is the mobile phone which initiates the request for the image and also initiates sending of model information.

Thus, Miki fails to teach or suggests a receiving circuit for receiving mobile telephone module information and an image view request transmitted from a mobile telephone having a display device, as recited in claim 1; and receiving mobile telephone model information in an image view request transmitted from a mobile telephone having a display device, as recited in claims 3 and 4. (Emphasis added.)

Therefore, Applicant respectfully submits that the combination of Takaoka and Miki fail to teach each feature of Applicant's independent claims as required. Accordingly, reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejections are respectfully requested.

Conclusion


For at least the reasons above that it is respectfully submitted claims 1-4 are distinguishable over the cited art. Favorable consideration and prompt allowance are earnestly solicited.

Should there be any outstanding matters that need to be resolved in the present application, the Examiner is respectfully requested to contact Chad J. Billings, Reg. No. 48,917, at the telephone number of the undersigned below, to conduct an interview in an effort to expedite prosecution in connection with the present application.

If necessary, the Commissioner is hereby authorized in this, concurrent, and future replies to charge payment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-2448 for any additional fees required under 37.C.F.R. §§1.16 or 1.14; particularly, extension of time fees.

Dated: February 29, 2008

Respectfully submitted,

By  2/29/08
Michael K. Mutter
Registration No.: 29,680
BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP
8110 Gatehouse Road
Suite 100 East
P.O. Box 747
Falls Church, Virginia 22040-0747
(703) 205-8000
Attorney for Applicant